

# Skin Moisturization Study:

**Fig.1** Graph of the mean visual scores for the Dryness data.




Table 1

Evaluation Time	Baseline	1	2	4	6	8	24
Post-Foamer G	1.95	0.35	0.43	0.65	0.73	0.78	1.63
Post-Foamer HH	1.90	0.10	0.23	0.33	0.50	0.50	1.60
Post-Foamer II	2.03	0.23	0.18	0.38	0.45	0.43	1.58
Post-Foamer A	1.98	0.63	1.05	1.18	1.36	1.33	1.80
Untreated	1.85	0.08	0.20	0.28	0.40	0.43	1.45
Untreated	1.90	1.80	1.80	1.75	1.83	1.88	1.80

Table 1 - Dryness Data

# Skin Moisturization Study:

Fig. 2

Graph of the average replicate scores for the Skicon data





Table 2 - Skicon Data


Fig. 3

Skin Moisturization Study:  
Graph of the average replicate scores for the Corneometer data




Evaluation Time	1	2	4	8	24
Post-Foamer G	52.35	54.24	55.57	56.54	57.5
Post-Foamer H	52.38	53.65	56.03	56.14	57.5
Post-Foamer II	52.20	54.17	54.82	55.37	52.20
Post-Foamer A	51.67	51.50	53.67	54.26	50.75
H Next	54.40	54.61	56.56	57.59	53.00
Untreated	53.62	53.33	53.96	54.97	52.21

Table 3 - Corneometer Data




**Fig. 4**

Viscosity vs. Shear stress for Edge (R) gel




**Fig. 5**

Viscosity vs. Shear stress of inventive and comparative lotion concentrates



**Fig. 6**

Expanded version of Fig. 5 showing comparative isotropic lotion base A in more detail



**Fig. 7**

Foam stability of inventive lamellar post foaming lotion (1) compared to comparative isotropic post foaming gel (2).